IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 338 OF 2007

IN

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 52 OF 2006

Vinod Gajanan Desai & Ors. .. Petitioners.

vs.

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Mumbai & Ors. .. Respondents.

Mr. Atul Rajyadhyaksha, Sr. Adv., with
G.P. Lasure i/by S.D. Rupawate
for Petitioners.
Mr. K.K. Singhavi, Sr. Adv., for respondent
nos. 1 to 5/BMC.
Mr. S.G. Surana for respondent no. 6.
Mr. R.M. Kadam, Advocate General, with
Mr. K.R. Belosey, GP., for R. nos. 8 to 11.

..

CORAM: J.N. PATEL &
A.A. SAYED, JJ.
DATE: 3RD OCTOBER, 2007.

P.C.

. Heard.

2. The amendments sought are not contested for the purpose of incorporating the same in the petition. Therefore, the chamber summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Necessary amendment to be carried out within two weeks.

3. We will highly appreciate if the learned AGP., makes available to this Court the report received from intelligent agencies regarding the threat perception to Siddhi Vinayak Temple and whether the raising of the wall sufficiently meets the safety and security requirements and whether the intelligent agencies have recorded their satisfaction over such arrangements being made, i.e. it totally secures the temple premises in all respects. The petitioner to furnish amended copies of the petition to the parties so that the parties can file their affidavit in reply within four weeks. S.O. for six weeks.

(J.N. Patel, J.)

(A.A. Sayed, J.)